

Author: Matthew S. Johnson

NASA Ames Research Center, M/S 232-21, Moffett Field, CA 94035

E-mail: [matthew.s.johnson@nasa.gov](mailto:matthew.s.johnson@nasa.gov)

## **Analyzing source apportioned methane in northern California during DISCOVER-AQ-CA using airborne measurements and model simulations**

### **Abstract**

This study analyzes source apportioned methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) emissions and atmospheric concentrations in northern California during the Discover-AQ-CA field campaign using airborne measurement data and model simulations. Source apportioned  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) version 4.2 were applied in the 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-Chem and analyzed using airborne measurements taken as part of the Alpha Jet Atmospheric eXperiment over the San Francisco Bay Area (SFBA) and northern San Joaquin Valley (SJV). During the time period of the Discover-AQ-CA field campaign EDGAR inventory  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions were  $\sim 5.30 \text{ Gg day}^{-1}$  ( $\text{Gg} = 1.0 \times 10^9 \text{ grams}$ ) (equating to  $\sim 1.9 \times 10^3 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1}$ ) for all of California. According to EDGAR, the SFBA and northern SJV region contributes  $\sim 30\%$  of total emissions from California. Source apportionment analysis during this study shows that  $\text{CH}_4$  concentrations over this area of northern California are largely influenced by global emissions from wetlands and local/global emissions from gas and oil production and distribution, waste treatment processes, and livestock management. Model simulations, using EDGAR emissions, suggest that the model under-estimates  $\text{CH}_4$  concentrations in northern California (average normalized mean bias (NMB) =  $-5\%$  and linear regression slope =  $0.25$ ). The largest negative biases in the model were calculated on days when “hot spots” of local emission sources were measured and atmospheric  $\text{CH}_4$  concentrations reached values  $> 3.0$  parts per million (model NMB =  $-10\%$ ). Sensitivity emission studies conducted during this research suggest that local emissions of  $\text{CH}_4$  from livestock management processes are likely the primary source of the negative model bias. These results indicate that a variety, and larger quantity, of measurement data needs to be obtained and additional research is necessary to better quantify source apportioned  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions in California and further the understanding of the physical processes controlling them.